

BLOB EJECTION FROM ADVECTION-DOMINATED ACCRETION FLOW: OBSERVATIONAL CONSEQUENCES

JIAN-MIN WANG¹, YE-FEI YUAN², MEI WU¹, AND MASAOKI KUSUNOSE³

To Appear in The Astrophysical Journal Letters

ABSTRACT

There is increasing evidence for the presence of an optically thin advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF) in low luminosity active galactic nuclei and radio-loud quasars. The present paper is devoted to explore the fate of a blob ejected from an ADAF, and to discuss its observational consequences. It is inevitable for the ejected blob to drastically expand into its surroundings. Consequently, it is expected that a group of relativistic electrons should be accelerated, which may lead to nonthermal flares, since a strong shock will be formed by the interaction between the blob and its surroundings. Then the blob cools down efficiently, leading to the appearance of recombination lines about 10^5 s after its ejection from an ADAF. We apply this model to NGC 4258 for some observational prediction, and to PKS 2149–306 for the explanation of observational evidence. Future simultaneous observations of recombination X-ray lines and continuum emission are highly desired to test the present model.

Subject headings: accretion: accretion disk - jet: emission - galaxies: nuclei

1. INTRODUCTION

The process of accretion onto a black hole is thought to be an efficient way to release gravitational energy. However for low enough accretion rates the released gravitational energy around a black hole is stored as entropy of accreting gas rather than being radiated. It is then expected that the internal energy of accreting gas will be very high and the temperature may reach the virial value. This is the well-known optically thin ADAF (Narayan & Yi 1994), which is quite different from that of standard accretion disks (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973).

Recently, based on the standard model of accretion disks, Tagger & Pellat (1999) found an accretion-ejection instability. The essential physics for this instability is that the extracted angular momentum is not emitted radially but ends up as an Alfvén wave which can eject material in the direction perpendicular to the disk plane. Such an instability may be stronger in ADAFs, because the instability grows with disk thickness although the detail of the instability in ADAFs remains open (Tagger 2000, private communication). Such a process provides another potential channel to release the gravitational energy in black hole accreting systems. The interesting properties of $K\alpha$ line emission in radio-loud quasars lead to the suggestion that the central engine of radio-loud quasars may be powered by optically thin ADAFs (Sambruna et al. 2000a, b). If such an instability works in an ADAF, some blobs should be ejected. Then a natural question arises: what is the fate of a blob ejected from the optically thin ADAF? Comparison of the energy density of the ejected blob with that of the inter-cloud medium (ICM) in broad line regions (BLR) will give a clue to this question. The typical values of density and temperature of the ICM in the BLR are thought to be 5.0×10^7 K and 5.0×10^6 cm⁻³, respectively,

in radio-loud quasars (Netzer 1991). If a blob is ejected from an ADAF, what are its observational consequences both in line and continuum emission? These are the main goals of the present paper.

2. THE FATE OF A BLOB EJECTED FROM AN ADAF

The physical properties of the ejected blob should be obtained from the global solution of an ADAF, which has already been done by Narayan et al. (1997). Since we are primarily interested in the fate of a blob, we can avoid the details of global structure of an ADAF. For simplicity, we deal with the two limiting cases to constrain the flow, i.e., fully and partially advected structure with free-free emission. The actual disk temperature and density functions in practice will be somewhere in between those given by these two limiting cases.

2.1. Optically Thin ADAF

For the first limiting case, namely that of full advection, we use the self-similar solution of Narayan & Yi (1994), and get temperature $T_{\text{ADAF}} \approx 2 \times 10^{12} r^{-1}$ K, and density $n_{\text{ADAF}} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{11} \alpha^{-1} \dot{m} M_8^{-1} r^{-1.5}$ cm⁻³, where α is the viscosity parameter, $\dot{m}$ is the dimensionless accretion rate by $\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} = 1.4 \times 10^{26} M_8$ (g/s) (the accretion efficiency $\eta = 0.1$), M_8 is the mass of the central black hole in units of $10^8 M_\odot$, and $r = R/R_g$ is the dimensionless radius with $R_g = 2GM_\bullet/c^2$ and $M_\bullet$ is the mass of black hole.

We consider the other limiting case of an accretion disk, assuming a standard accretion disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) holds. In such a case the flow cools via free-free emission. In this work we only consider the consequences of a single-temperature treatment as a first approximation. The height-averaged equations of an accretion disk are used since a more sophisticated approach is not warranted for this level of treatment. Using the α -viscosity

¹Laboratory of Cosmic Ray and High Energy Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, Beijing 100039, and CAS-PKU Beijing Astrophysical Center, Beijing 100871, P.R. China, E-mail: wangjm@astro.vl.ihep.ac.cn

²Center for Astrophysics, USTC, Hefei 230026, and National Astronomical Observatories, CAS, P.R. China, E-mail: yfyuan@ustc.edu.cn

³Department of Physics, School of Science, Kwansei Gakuin University, Nishinomiya 662-8501, Japan, E-mail: kusunose@kwansei.ac.jp

law, the dissipated energy production rate $Q^+ = \alpha p \Omega_K \gamma_K$, where $\gamma_K = -d \ln \Omega_K / d \ln R$ and $\Omega_K = (GM_\bullet / R^3)^{1/2}$ is the Keplerian angular velocity, is balanced by the free-free cooling rate $Q^- = \Lambda_0 \rho^2 T^{1/2}$ ($\Lambda_0 = 1.24 \times 10^{21}$). Suppose that the vertical hydrostatic balance holds, i.e., $H^2 = c_s^2 / \Omega_K^2 = kT / m_p \Omega_K^2$, where H is the half thickness of a disk, k is the Boltzmann constant, and m_p is the proton mass. The ϕ -direction equation reads $\dot{M} l_K = 4\pi \alpha R^2 p H$, where l_K is the Keplerian angular momentum. For simplicity, we have neglected the inner boundary condition that no heat generation occurs at the inner boundary. This is a reasonable approximation for the region not very close to the inner radius. Temperature and density are then given by

$$T_{ss} = 8.3 \times 10^{11} r^{-3/4} \alpha^{-1} \dot{m}^{1/2} \text{ K}, \quad (1)$$

$$n_{ss} = 3.47 \times 10^{13} r^{-15/8} \alpha^{1/2} \dot{m}^{1/4} M_8^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}. \quad (2)$$

Then the actual temperature T and number density n should be $T_{ss} \leq T \leq T_{\text{ADAF}}$ and $n_{\text{ADAF}} \leq n \leq n_{ss}$. We can see that even the free-free cooling disk is of much higher temperature (within $10^4 R_g$) than the one producing recombination lines.

2.2. Blob Ejected from Optically Thin ADAF

In ADAFs the magnetic field strength is close to the equipartition value (Narayan & Yi 1995). The self-similar solution for such magnetized ADAFs has been found by Soria et al. (1997). It is interesting to note that there is an accretion-ejection instability within several gravitational radii in magnetized geometrically thin disks (Tagger & Pellat 1999). Such an accretion-ejection instability in ADAFs would be stronger than that in thin disks because its growth rate will increase with increasing disk thickness (Tagger 2000, private communication), though the instability in ADAFs needs to be studied in detail in future. Since most of the dissipated energy will be mainly deposited on protons, the internal energy density ($U = \frac{3}{2} n_{ss} k T_{ss}$) of accreting gas can be obtained by equations (1) and (2):

$$U = 5.9 \times 10^9 r^{-\frac{21}{8}} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \dot{m}^{\frac{3}{4}} M_8^{-1} \text{ erg/cm}^3. \quad (3)$$

We can see from above equation that the internal energy density is very sensitive to the ejection location of a disk, i.e., $U \propto r^{-21/8}$. Thus the ejection at large radii is less significant. It is then assumed that a blob with size $R_0 (\leq R_e)$ is ejected from an ADAF at radius R_e via such an instability, and that the most likely ejection radius is within $R_e = 10 R_g$. Also we assume that changes in temperature and density are little during the ejection.

The ejected blob will expand rapidly, and strongly interact with its surroundings. This behavior is very similar to the fireball of γ -ray bursts (Piran 1999). The interaction between the blob and its surroundings is very complex. There are two reasons for this complexity, because of two kinds of interactions between the ejected blob and its surrounding medium, i.e., expansion and transverse motions in the medium. In this paper we pay our main attention to the interaction between the blob expansion and its surrounding medium. Neglecting the detailed process of expansion (Sedov 1969) due to the high internal energy of the blob, we have $d(\rho_{ss} v^2 / 2) / dt = -dU / dt$, for the expansion

velocity, which is based on the energy conservation. Similarly to the fireball of γ -ray bursts, the expansion will be decelerated when the swept mass by expansion is equal to the blob initial mass M_{blob} , namely, $\frac{4}{3} \pi R_2^3 n_2 m_p = M_{\text{blob}}$, where R_2 is its final radius, $R_2 = R_0 + v\tau \approx v\tau$, with τ being the timescale of expansion. These equations give $v = (3kT_1 / m_p)^{1/2}$ and $\tau = (R_0 / v)(n_1 / n_2)^{1/3}$, where T_1 and n_1 are the temperature and the number density of the ejected blob at the initial state, respectively. The expansion proceeds with Mach number

$$\mathcal{M}^2 \approx \left(\frac{v}{c_{s2}} \right)^2 \approx \frac{T_1}{T_2}, \quad (4)$$

where c_{s2} is the sound speed of its surroundings. Typically $\mathcal{M}^2 \sim 2 \times 10^3$, for $T_2 = 5.0 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$ and $T_1 = 10^{11} \text{ K}$, and strong shock will be formed. It is expected that the temperature of the post shock wave will go down to $T_1 / \mathcal{M}^2$ (Sedov 1969). Thus the ejected blob will be efficiently cooled down, so that the condition for some recombination lines, e.g., FeXXV or FeXXIV ions, will be satisfied (Raymond & Smith 1977). The separation of the ejected blob from the central black hole would be $d = \beta c \tau$, where βc is the ejection velocity of the blob. There are mainly three effects strongly affecting the observed profile of emission lines: 1) spherical expansion causes the broadening of emission line profile, 2) the gravitational redshift (perhaps not strong), and 3) Doppler shift due to the bulk motion of the blob. The profile of an emission line from the relativistic outflow close to a rotating black hole has been calculated in our recent paper (Wang et al. 2000). It is expected that a line from such an ejected blob will have a more complex profile. The evolution of the blob will be mainly determined by free-free cooling after its swept mass of the surrounding medium equals to the initial mass of the blob. The density is then approximated as $n_e \approx [n_1 R_0^3 + n_2 (v\tau)^3] / (v\tau)^3 = 2n_2 = 1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The timescale is $\tau_H \approx 6.6 T_8^{1/2} n_{e,7}^{-1} \text{ (yr)}$, where $T_8 = T / 10^8$ and $n_{e,7} = n_e / 10^7$. It is thus expected that the blob with temperature $\sim 10^8 \text{ K}$ will cool down at 2pc from the nucleus, if the ejection occurs at almost light speed.

Next we briefly describe the possibility of nonthermal emission from a blob; blast waves are expected to be generated during expansion and to accelerate a group of thermal electrons to be relativistic. The conversion of the blob kinetic energy to nonthermal particles involves complicated plasma physics and shock acceleration processes. However, we can avoid dealing with the detailed acceleration physics by simply assuming that some fraction of the internal energy is transformed into a group of nonthermal electrons (e.g., Blandford & Eichler 1987). Here we assume that fraction ξ of all the internal energy is channelled into the nonthermal electrons. From the energy conservation, the total number of relativistic electrons can be estimated from $\xi R_0^3 (n_1 k T_{ss}) = (v\tau)^3 n_0 m_e c^2 \int \gamma^{1-p} d\gamma$, where we assumed that shock acceleration forms a population of electrons with power-law index p as $n_0 \gamma^{-p}$. The total number of relativistic electrons in one blob is

$$N_e = \frac{4}{3} \pi \xi n_1 R_0^3 \left(\frac{k T_{ss}}{m_e c^2} \right) \left(\frac{2-p}{1-p} \right) \left(\frac{\gamma_{\text{max}}^{1-p} - \gamma_{\text{min}}^{1-p}}{\gamma_{\text{max}}^{2-p} - \gamma_{\text{min}}^{2-p}} \right). \quad (5)$$

The fraction of the relativistic electrons to the total number of the initial blob is about $\xi k T_{ss}/\gamma_{\min} m_e c^2 = 0.012 \xi_{-2}$ for $p > 2$, where $\xi_{-2} = \xi/0.01$ and $\gamma_{\min} = 50$. This strongly implies that the radiating electrons may originate from the present mechanism, which may be a proposing mechanism responsible for the acceleration of relativistic electrons in some of radio-loud quasars. It is expected the nonthermal emission spectrum and light curves should be similar to some properties predicted by Li & Kusunose (2000) and Kusunose et al. (2000).

It is thus inevitable for the ejected blob that the high-temperature drops due to the strong interaction between the blob and its surroundings, and as a natural consequence there is a group of electrons to be accelerated to relativistic energy responsible for the continuum and some recombination lines from the cooled blob.

3. APPLICATIONS

It has been generally accepted that accretion onto super massive black holes leads to the release of gravitational energy in active galactic nuclei (Rees 1984). However, the status of the accretion disk in different kinds of AGNs remains uncertain. Ion-supported tori may power the central engine in radio-loud quasars (Rees et al. 1982). A famous low luminosity AGN NGC 4258 is generally thought as representative of ADAFs (Gammie et al. 1999). However, recent observations of K α by *ASCA* show the origination is not from an accretion disk (Reynolds et al. 2000). Yaqoob et al. (1999) detected a highly Doppler blue-shifted K-emission line in PKS 2149–306. We thus apply our model to the two objects.

NGC 4258: The mass of the central black hole is well determined to be $M_{\bullet} = 3.6 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ by Miyoshi et al. (1995). Radio observation set the transition radius from the standard disk to the ADAF to be $100 R_g$ (Herrnstein et al. 1999), and the accretion rate is $\sim 1.0 \times 10^{-3} \dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$ (Gammie et al. 1999). Taking the typical value of $\alpha = 0.1$, we have $T_1 = 4.7 \times 10^{10} \text{K}$ and $n_1 = 7.2 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-3}$, if the ejection takes place at $10 R_g$, where the viscous heating rate is highest. The initial dimension of the ejected blob is taken to be $R_0 = 10 R_g = 10^{14} \text{cm}$. The additional component with luminosity $L_X^A = 2.0 \times 10^{40} \text{ergs/s}$ in X-ray shows that the tenuous plasma is of temperature 0.5 keV (Reynolds et al. 2000). This sets constraints on the density of the environment in the vicinity of the nucleus via the Thomson scattering depth $\tau_{\text{Th}} = \sigma_{\text{Th}} n_2 \ell$, where σ_{Th} is the Thomson scattering cross section and ℓ is the dimension of its surroundings. Using the X-ray luminosity of the additional component contributed from the tenuous plasma $L_X^A = \frac{4}{3} \pi \ell^3 \Lambda n_2^2 T_e^{1/2}$ ($\Lambda = 3.4 \times 10^{-27}$), we have the number density of the surroundings to be $n_2 = 10^8 (\tau_{\text{Th}}/0.1)^3 \text{cm}^{-3}$. From equation (4) we have the Mach number $\mathcal{M} = 97$. The timescale of cooling due to expansion is then given by $\tau = 2.36 \times 10^5 \text{s}$, which is much shorter than that of free-free cooling. The expanded radius of the blob is $R_2 = 9.0 \times 10^{14} \text{cm}$. The temperature will drop from $4.7 \times 10^{10} \text{K}$ to $T = T_1/\mathcal{M}^2 \approx 5.0 \times 10^6 \text{K}$. During the cooling some recombination lines will be produced (Raymond & Smith 1977), such as FeXXVI $\lambda 1.78 \text{\AA}$, FeXXV $\lambda 1.85 \text{\AA}$, FeXXV $\lambda 1.86 \text{\AA}$, and oxygen line OVIII $\lambda 18.97$, and silicon Si XIV $\lambda 6.18 \text{\AA}$, etc. If the blob moves with Doppler factor of 10 (Gammie et al. 1999), we expect to observe

the highly Doppler blue-shifted line at $64 \mathcal{D}_{10} \text{keV}$, where $\mathcal{D} = 10 \mathcal{D}_{10}$ is the Doppler factor. Such lines should be detected by future observations of *INTEGRAL*.

PKS 2149–306: The highly Doppler shifted iron K-emission line has been detected by *ASCA* in this high redshift ($z=2.345$) radio-loud quasar (Yaqoob et al. 1999), although the current data does not allow to determine its unambiguous profile. We attempt to apply our present model to this source. The central mass can be obtained from the full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) and the luminosity of emission line CIV (Peterson 1998). With the measurements of $v_{\text{FWHM}} = 6400 \text{km/s}$ (Wilkes 1986), and $L(\text{CIV}) = 1.2 \times 10^{46} \text{erg/s}$ (Wilkes et al. 1983) (which we measured from the spectrum), we have the mass of the central black hole, $M_{\bullet} = 3.4 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$, which corresponds to Eddington luminosity $L_{\text{Edd}} = 4.3 \times 10^{47} \text{erg/s}$. If we follow the mean spectrum of radio-loud quasars (Elvis et al. 1994), we get the bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol}} \approx 5.0 \times 10^{47} \text{erg/s}$ based on the continuum (Siebert et al. 1996). Then the accretion rate is roughly $\dot{m} = L_{\text{bol}}/(\mathcal{D}^4 L_{\text{Edd}}) \approx 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$, where Doppler factor $\mathcal{D} = 2.65$; here we directly used the Doppler factor of the iron K emission line as jet's. It suggests that this object may be powered by an ADAF. The transition radius from the standard disk to the ADAF can be obtained by the approximate formula $r_{\text{tr}} \approx 2.6 \times 10^3 \alpha^4 \dot{m}^{-2}$ for the case that half the released energy is advected [their eq. (4.1) of Narayan & Yi 1995]. We get $r_{\text{tr}} \approx 400$ for $\alpha = 0.1$. If the ejection takes place at $10 R_g$ with initial radius $R_0 = 10 R_g \approx 10^{16} \text{cm}$, then the density is $n_1 = 1.7 \times 10^8 \text{cm}^{-3}$ and the temperature is $T_1 = 2.3 \times 10^{11} \text{K}$. Taking the typical values of the parameters, $n_2 = 5.0 \times 10^6 \text{cm}^{-3}$ and $T_2 = 5.0 \times 10^7 \text{K}$, for ICM in the BLR (Netzer 1991), we have the typical expansion timescale $\tau \approx 2.8 \times 10^6 \text{s}$, and the temperature will drop to $10^{7 \sim 8} \text{K}$, where Mach number $\mathcal{M}^2 = 4.7 \times 10^3$ from equation (4). The blob's distance from the center is about $\beta c \tau \approx 8.4 \times 10^{16} \text{cm} \approx 80 R_g$ ($\beta \approx 1$). It is thus expected that some high energy recombination lines will appear in $2.8 \times 10^6 \text{s}$ since the ejection of a blob.

There should be two steps to eject a blob. The first is the blob formation with the timescale of τ_f , which is approximate to the viscous timescale (timescale to accumulate matter), namely $\tau_f \approx \alpha^{-1} \tau_{\text{K}}$ [$\tau_{\text{K}} = 1/\Omega_{\text{K}} = (R_g/c)r^{3/2} = 10^6 \text{s}$ at $r = 10$]. In the more accurate global solution the radial velocity is higher than the above one. The second is the relativistic ejection with timescale τ_e . It seems reasonable to assume that the timescale of ejection is much shorter than the timescale of blob formation. The duration for a blob to produce the iron recombination lines is approximately $\tau_{\text{ff}} = 6.6 \text{yr}$ for $T_e = 10^8 \text{K}$ and $n_e = 1.0 \times 10^7 \text{cm}^{-3}$. Therefore the number of the blobs producing the recombination line of iron K-emission would be $N_b \approx \tau_{\text{ff}}/(\tau_e + \tau_f) \approx \alpha \tau_{\text{ff}}/\tau_{\text{K}} \approx 20$ if the ejection takes place at $10 R_g$ (It should be noted that this number is *not* the total of the ejected blobs). We assume the cosmic abundance of iron in the blob. Considering the boosting effects of flux due to the relativistic motion, the intrinsic flux is given by $F_{\text{in}} = F_{\text{obs}} \mathcal{D}_b^{-4}$, where F_{obs} is the observed flux ($\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$) and $\mathcal{D}_b$ is the Doppler factor of outflow. The energy of K-emission line with emissivity j_{K} within the time interval Δt is $\Delta E \approx \frac{4}{3} \pi N_b j_{\text{K}} n_e^2 R_2^3 \Delta t$, which can be compared with the

observable $\Delta E = 4\pi d_L^2 F_{\text{obs}} \Delta t / \mathcal{D}_b^4$, where n_e is the number density of electrons in the blob. With the help of energy conservation, we can predict the observed flux: $F_{\text{obs}} = N_b \mathcal{D}_b^4 j_K n_e^2 R_2^3 / 3d_L^2 \approx 0.8 \times 10^{-13} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, where we take the emissivity of iron recombination lines, $j_K = 1.4 \times 10^{-24} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-3}$ (Raymond & Smith 1977), and $\mathcal{D}_b = 2.65$ (Yaqoob et al 1999). We find this estimated value is close to the observed flux, $F_{\text{obs}} \approx 3.6_{-2.5}^{+2.5} \times 10^{-13} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ (Yaqoob et al. 1999). Here we do not rule out the contribution of iron $K\alpha$ fluorescence emission to the observed flux. The total number of relativistic electrons in one blob is $N_e \approx 1.5 \times 10^{54}$, if we take the minimum energy of electrons $\gamma_{\text{min}} = 50$. These electrons will be responsible for the nonthermal emission. The detailed radiation processes and spectra with the evolution of electron's energy distribution will be carried out in a separate paper.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

If a blob ejection takes place in such an ADAF the fate of the blob is an interesting topic. In the ADAF regime the released gravitational energy from the viscous dissipation is restored as the entropy of the accreting gas. We have explored the observational consequences of the blob ejected from an optically thin ADAF. We found it inevitably drastically expands into its surroundings with Mach number of $\mathcal{M} \approx 10^2$. The hot blob cools down rapidly via the interaction between the blob and its surroundings via the blob's expansion. Consequently a group of thermal electrons will be accelerated to be relativistic via strong shocks, leading to nonthermal radiation from the blob. Simultaneously there will appear some of recombination lines from the blob. If the ejected blob moves, these lines will be highly Doppler shifted. We think the observed iron K emission line in PKS 2149–306 results from the ejected blob from an optically thin ADAF. We also made predictions for NGC 4258 for future observations of *INTEGRAL*.

Recent studies on the $K\alpha$ line properties in radio-loud quasars show that the line is weak and narrow in radio-loud quasars, but strong and broad in radio-quiet quasars, which are quite similar to that in Seyfert galaxies (Reynolds 1997, Sambruna et al. 1999a, Wozniak et al. 1998, Reeves & Turner 2000, Fabian et al. 2000). The ADAF thus may power the central engines of radio-loud

quasars (Sambruna et al. 1999b). The proposed model is consistent with the existing data of PKS 2149–306 and supported by the recent observations of $K\alpha$ line in other radio-loud quasars. The thermal X-ray emission lines from an ADAF have been studied by Narayan & Raymond (1999), who suggest these lines may be detected by the current X-ray instruments (Narayan & Raymond 1999, Perna et al. 2000). If the future observations (high space resolution of radio telescopes and high energy resolution of X-ray telescopes) confirm our predictions, the highly Doppler blue-shifted iron K emission line may shed light on jet formation and be very helpful to the construction of central engine models of radio-loud quasars.

It is likely for the ejected blob to encounter BLR clouds when it passes through the BLR. One may expect to see changes in the optical/UV emission line spectrum in the simultaneous multiwavelength observations. For a radio-loud quasar it would be difficult to test the X-ray continuum from the ADAF itself since the nonthermal emission dominates its continuum by the Doppler boosting effects. The spatial distribution of ejected blobs is expected to be perpendicular to the accretion disk plane. Their distributions may lead to interesting observables, for example, the line profile will be broadened, if they occupy a considerable solid angle.

The proposed model is based on two important assumptions. First, we assumed a blob is ejected from an ADAF, but we did not specify the working mechanism responsible for the ejection. This leads us not to accurately predict the number of blobs. The accretion-ejection instability found by Tagger & Pellat (1999) could be a promising mechanism and we will study it in future. The second involves the interaction between the blob with its surroundings. If the density of blob's surroundings is too low, the fate of the ejected blob would be different from the proposed model.

The authors are grateful to an anonymous referee for the helpful comments and suggestions on the manuscript clarifying several points. The useful discussions with T.P. Li, F.J. Lu and J.L. Qu are acknowledged. J.M.W. is supported by 'Hundred Talents Program of CAS'. This work is financed by the Special Funds for Major State Basic Research Projects and by NSFC.

REFERENCES

- Blandford, R.D. & Eichler, D. 1987, *Phys Rep*, 154, 2
 Elvis, M., et al. 1994, *ApJS*, 95, 1
 Fabian, A.C., Iwasawa, K., Reynolds, C.S., & Young, A.J. 2000, *PASP*, astro-ph/0004366
 Gammie, C.F., Narayan, R. & Blandford, R. 1999, *ApJ*, 516, 177
 Herrnstein, J.R., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 497, L69
 Kusunose, M., Takahara, F., & Li, H. 2000, *ApJ*, 536, 299
 Li, H. & Kusunose, M. 2000, *ApJ*, 536, 729
 Miyoshi, M., et al. 1995, *Nature*, 373, 127
 Narayan, R., Kato, S. & Honma, F. 1997, *ApJ*, 476, 49
 Narayan, R. & Raymond, J. 1999, *ApJ*, 515, L69
 Narayan, R. & Yi, I. 1994, *ApJ*, 412, L13
 Narayan, R. & Yi, I. 1995, *ApJ*, 452, 710
 Netzer, H. 1991, in *Active Galactic Nuclei*, ed. Couvoisier, T.J.L. & Meimer, Springer-Verlag.
 Perna, R., Raymond, J. & Narayan, R. 2000, astro-ph/0005387
 Peterson, B.A. 1998, *Active Galactic Nuclei*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge), p.72
 Piran, T. 1999, *Phys. Rep.*, 314, 575
 Raymond, J.C. & Smith, B.W. 1977, *ApJS*, 35, 419
 Rees, M.J. 1984, *ARA&A*, 22, 471
 Rees, M.J., Begelman, M.C., Blandford, R., & Phinney, E.S. 1982, *Nature*, 195, 17
 Reeves, J.N. & Turner, T.J. 2000, *MNRAS*, astro-ph/0003080
 Reynolds, C.S. 1997, *MNRAS*, 286, 513
 Reynolds, C.S., Nowak, M.A., & Maloney, P.R. 2000, astro-ph/0004068
 Sambruna, R.M., Eracleous, M. & Mushotzky, R.F. 1999a, *ApJ*, 526, 60
 Sambruna, R.M., Eracleous, M. & Mushotzky, R.F. 1999b, astro-ph/9910513
 Sedov, L. 1969, *Similarity and dimensional Methods in Mechanics*, Academic Press Inc. (New York), Chap. IV
 Shakura, N.I. & Sunyaev, R.A. 1973, *A&A*, 24, 337
 Siebert, J., et al. 1996, *A&A*, 307, 8
 Soria, R., Li, J., & Wickramasinghe, D.T. 1997, *ApJ*, 487, 769
 Tagger, M. & Pellat, R. 1999, *A&A*, 349, 1003
 Wang, J.-M., Zhou, Y.-Y., Yuan, Y.-F., Cao, X., & Wu, M. 2000, *ApJ*, 544, in press (astro-ph/0006268)
 Wilkes, B.J. 1986, *MNRAS*, 218, 331
 Wilkes, B.J., Wright, A.E., Jauncey, D.L. & Peterson, B.A. 1983, *PASA*, 5, 2

Wozniak, P.R., et al. 1998, MNRAS, 299, 449

Yaqoob, T., et al. 1999, ApJ, 525, L9